WO

Lorenzo Leon Brown,

Charles Ryan, et al.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

v.

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

No. CV-17-00377-TUC-DCB

**ORDER** 

The Court issues this Order to supplement its Order issued on November 19, 2018, denying Plaintiff's Motion to Object to Defendant's Request for Production and Non-Uniform Interrogatories (Doc. 67). Therein, the Court explained the discovery responsibilities of both parties and the procedures to be followed by both parties to address discovery disputes. The parties must certify that they have in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with each other in an effort to obtain discovery before filing a discovery related motion. The Court shall treat Defendant Jacob's Motion to Continue the Dispositive Motion Deadline as an effort to confer with Plaintiff in writing regarding responses to Interrogatories 1-4, 8-11 and Requests for Production 1-4 and 8-13. Plaintiff shall either produce this discovery or provide his objections in writing directly to Defendant Jacobs' counsel. Thereafter, Defendant may file a Motion to Compel.

Defendant Jacobs has filed a dispositive motion based on exhaustion. Because failing to administratively exhaust his claim against Jacobs may result in dismissal of the action against Defendant Jacobs, the Court shall extend the time for discovery related to the Jacobs' claim for 30 days following the Court's resolution of the exhaustion question.

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
-,	ı

28

The Court will grant the Motion for an Extension of the Dispositive Motions Deadline for the remaining Defendants. Defendant Goodman failed to Answer or propound discovery due to a clerical error by counsel. The Court shall allow 60 days for the parties to conduct discovery related to the claims against Defendant Goodman.

## Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Continuance to complete discovery related to the claims against Defendant Jacobs (Doc. 71) is GRANTED for 30 days following disposition by this Court of Defendant Jacobs' Motion for Summary Judgement Re: Exhaustion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Continuance to complete discovery related to the claims against Defendant Goodman (Doc. 70) is GRANTED for 60 days from the filing date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing dispositive motions related to the merits of the retaliation claim against Defendant Jacobs shall be 60 days following this Court's resolution of the exhaustion issue, unless the claim is dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other discovery is closed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for filing dispositive motions on the merits of the claims against all other Defendants shall be 90 days from the following the close of discovery.

Dated this 26th day of November, 2018.

Honorable David C. Bury United States District Judge